

tags: number-theory

def: Euler ϕ -function

$$\phi(n) = |(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times|$$

express $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in terms of Euler's ϕ -function

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)$$

(Each element of \mathbb{Z}/n is a generator of one of the subgroups \mathbb{Z}/d with $d|n$.)

Refs: Serre: A course in arithmetic, § I.1, Lemma 1

Chevalley-Warning-Theorem

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field (q a power of p).

The common vanishing locus of polynomials $f_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of sufficiently small degree has cardinality a multiple of p . Sufficiently small means that $\sum_\alpha \deg(f_\alpha) < n$.

Refs: Serre: A course in arithmetic, § I.2, Theorem 3

prove: Every conic over a finite field has a rational point.

A conic in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ is defined by a quadratic form f in n variables ($n \geq 3$). As $\deg(f) = 2 < n$, the Chevalley-Warning-Theorem implies that f has more zeroes than just the trivial zero.

Refs: Serre: A course in arithmetic, § I.2, Corollary 2

squares in \mathbb{F}_q (q a power of p)

If $p \neq 2$,

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow 1$$
$$x \mapsto x^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$$

If $p = 2$, all elements of \mathbb{F}_q are squares.

Refs: Serre: A course in arithmetic, § I.3, Theorem 4

tags: philosophy

What good is it to argue about whether HOLISM or REDUCTIONISM is right?

The proper way to understand the matter is to transcend the question, by answering MU.